

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,466	01/06/2004	Thomas M. Soukup	H583,104.102	3375
25281 DICKE, BILL	7590 03/05/200 IG & CZAIA	EXAM	INER	
FIFTH STREET TOWERS 100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, SUITE 2250 MINNEAPOLIS. MR 55402			SMITH, FANGEMONIQUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/752,466	SOUKUP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fangemonique Smith	3736	

	Fangemonique Smith	3736		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR + 13 after SNC (9) MCPRTHS from the making date of this communication. I Failure to reply within the safe or extended period for reply will by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing carned patnet term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-:			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Professor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3).	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date .

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Applic
6)	Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. No claims are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:
 - a. Species I drawn to a steerable stylet device having a stylet wire, a core wire and a handle having a means for adjusting the relative tension between the core wire and stylet wire.
 - b. Species II drawn to a steerable stylet device having a stylet wire, a core wire, a handle having a means for adjusting the relative tension between the core wire and stylet wire, and a tension limiter to limit the tension force applied between the core wire and stylet wire of the device.

The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,466

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

A telephone call was made to Paul Kempf on February 27, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Art Unit: 3736

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/752,466

Art Unit: 3736

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is

with drawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP \S 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fangemonique Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-8160.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FS

/Max Hindenburg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736